

REMARKS

Claims 1-19 were pending as of the Office Action mailed June 30, 2005. Claims 1, 12, and 17 are in independent form. Claims 1-11 are being amended. Claims 12-19 are being cancelled. Claims 20-22 are being newly added. No new matter has been added. Support for the amendments to the claims and for the new claims can be found within the applicant's specification at least page 6, line 13 through page 8, line 15 and FIG. 2. New claim 20 recites limitations removed from claim 2. New claims 21 and 22 recite limitations corresponding to the limitations of claim 1.

Reconsideration and reexamination of the application is respectfully requested in light of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks.

Section 102 Rejections

Claims 1, 3, 5-12, and 17 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as allegedly anticipated by Microsoft Corp., Microsoft Outlook 2000, screenshots, Figures 1-12 ("Outlook").

Claim 1

To expedite prosecution, claim 1 has been amended to require a first control including a state indicator represented by a check-box, and a pull-down control button represented by an icon. Additionally, claim 1 requires using the pull-down control button to display a second control, wherein the second control operates within the first control, and wherein the second control includes the one or more data records from a database. Moreover, claim 1 requires modifying at least one of the one or more data records using the second control, wherein when a data record is modified using the second control, the corresponding state indicator of the first control is also modified.

The applicant respectfully submits that Outlook does not teach or describe each and every aspect of claim 1, as amended. Thus, applicant submits that claim 1 is allowable.

Remaining Claims

Claims 3 and 5-11 depend from claim 1 and are allowable for at least the reasons that apply to that independent claim. Claims 12 and 17 are being cancelled.

Withdrawal of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) is therefore respectfully requested.

Section 103 Rejections

Claims 2, 4, and 18 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Outlook and U.S. Patent No. 6,208,340 (“Amin”).

Claims 13 and 14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Outlook and U.S. Patent No. 5,317,687 (“Torres”).

Claims 16 and 19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Outlook in view of the Examiner’s OFFICIAL NOTICE.

Claims 2 and 4 depend from claim 1 and are allowable for at least the reasons that apply to that independent claim. Claims 13, 14, 16, 18, and 19 are being cancelled.

Withdrawal of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) is therefore respectfully requested.

New Claims 20-22

Support for new claims 20-22 is noted above. The applicant respectfully submits that the teachings of Outlook, Amin, and Torres, alone or in combination, do not teach or describe each and every aspect of new claims 20-22. Thus, the applicant submits that new claims 20-22 are allowable.

Conclusion

The applicant respectfully requests that all pending claims be allowed.

By responding in the foregoing remarks only to particular positions taken by the examiner, the applicant does not acquiesce with other positions that have not been

explicitly addressed. In addition, the applicant's selecting some particular arguments for the patentability of a claim should not be understood as implying that no other reasons for the patentability of that claim exist. Finally, the applicant's decision to amend or cancel any claim should not be understood as implying that the applicant agrees with any positions taken by the examiner with respect to that claim or other claims.

Please apply any charges or credits to Deposit Account No. 50-1432, reference No. 343355-600028.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: November 20, 2009

By: /Arrienne M. Lezak/
Arrienne M. Lezak
Reg. No. 51,943
JONES DAY
1755 Embarcadero Road
Palo Alto, CA 94303
(650) 687-4163